



Program Improvement Plan Quarterly Performance Report:
January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007

This report summarizes quarterly progress on Rhode Island's Program Improvement Plan (PIP). The PIP sets out performance goals for the six national standards for child welfare agency performance as specified in the Child and Family Service Reviews conducted by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services' Administration for Children and Families (ACF). Information for the report is compiled from RICHIST data for completed child protective service investigations and foster care placement data for the period January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2007. Baseline information is based upon data submitted to the Children's Bureau for the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) and Adoption and Foster Care Reporting System (AFCARS) for Fiscal Year 2004 (October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2004). DCYF releases these figures on a quarterly basis to monitor progress toward PIP performance goals. Regional performance is summarized on the back of this report.

What is the Child and Family Service Review?

Beginning in 2000, the ACF began conducting Child and Family Services Reviews (CFSRs) to evaluate state child welfare program functioning. The CFSR process encompassed a range of indicators of program functioning including a statewide self assessment prepared by the agency, a comprehensive qualitative site review of systemic factors and child welfare outcomes for a random sample of cases, and performance against national quantitative standards established for six outcomes for all children active for the most recent available year.

Rhode Island completed its first CFSR in March 2004. To date, similar reviews have been conducted for all fifty states, Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia. No state or jurisdiction passed the initial round of reviews. A brief summary of Rhode Island's performance can be found online (http://www.dcyf.ri.gov/news/review_summary.htm). In general, the review showed that Rhode Island has strengths as well as areas needing improvement. The Program Improvement Plan (PIP) is a federally-required response to the CFSR that summarizes a comprehensive plan to meet six national standards included in the review. It also describes Rhode Island's strategy for improving the services provided to children and families that are expected to improve outcomes. The date targeted to achieve these standards is August 2007. A copy of the PIP is available at http://www.dcyf.ri.gov/docs/pip_final.pdf.

How was Rhode Island's progress during the most recent quarter?

Although it is difficult to detect child welfare system changes within a single quarter, this report communicates information about Program Improvement Benchmarks as the state progresses toward annual and target goals. Rhode Island's progress against the six national standards during the most recent quarter, as well as the previous three quarters and baseline period, are detailed below in Table 1. Rhode Island met its annual (2007) objective for reducing the incidence of child abuse and neglect in foster care (0.63%; Outcome #2), and met the national standard for foster care adoption occurring within 24 months from a child's entry into the foster care system this quarter (35.8%; Outcome #5), a standard Rhode Island has consistently exceeded until recent declines for this indicator. Fluctuations were observed in other Outcomes, with current quarter results below target goals for the year – though target goals have been met for reducing the 12 month rate of foster care re-entry (Outcome #4) during the past four quarterly report periods.

Table 1: Six national standards for child welfare agency performance: 10th quarter PIP Progress

Table with 9 columns: Child Welfare Outcome, National Standard, Baseline (FY04), 7th Quarter, 8th Quarter, 9th Quarter, Current Quarter, Annual Goal 8/2006, Target Goal 8/2007. It contains 6 rows of data for different child welfare outcomes.

*Note. This is not a PIP Target Goal; at baseline RI exceeded the National Standard on this measure.

**Program Improvement Plan Quarterly Performance Report:
October 1, 2006 – September 30, 2007**

How did Rhode Island’s progress during the most recent quarter differ by region?

Regional performance against the six national standards during the most recent quarter is detailed in Table 2 below. In most cases, regions were determined based upon the mother’s address – though in some cases the father’s or child’s address was used. It is important to note that regions differ markedly in the number of children investigated by Child Protective Services or the number of children served through foster care placement. **As a result, small variations in outcome across quarters may result in large variations in performance in regions where fewer children are served. Although these figures provide some indication of each region’s performance against national standards, comparisons across regions should be done with caution because of the unique characteristics and challenges for each region.**

Table 2: Six national standards for child welfare agency performance: 10th quarter PIP Progress (by Region).

Child Welfare Outcome	National Standard	Region I	Region II	Region III	Region IV	Target Goal 8/2007
1. Reduce 6 month rate of recurrence of maltreatment	6.1 %	16.0 % <i>(81/507)</i>	12.2 % <i>(27/222)</i>	13.3 % <i>(48/361)</i>	10.3 % <i>(72/698)</i>	6.9 %
2. Reduce the incidence of child abuse and/or neglect in foster care	0.57 %	0.53 % <i>(7/1324)</i>	1.50 % <i>(7/468)</i>	0.28 % *	0.61% <i>(9/1469)</i>	0.95 %
3. Increase 12 month rate of reunification from foster care	76.2 %	64.0 % <i>(192/300)</i>	71.4 % <i>(100/140)</i>	75.1 % <i>(136/181)</i>	67.1 % <i>(294/438)</i>	73.42 %
4. Reduce 12 month rate of re-entry to foster care	8.6 %	14.9 % <i>(75/503)</i>	20.0 % <i>(37/185)</i>	17.6 % <i>(56/319)</i>	24.5% <i>(150/611)</i>	19.95 %
5. Increase 24 month rate of adoption from foster care	32.0 %	33.9 % <i>(38/112)</i>	53.8 % <i>(7/13)</i>	31.1 % <i>(14/45)</i>	38.3 % <i>(28/73)</i>	**
6. Increase 12 month rate of foster care cases with 2 or fewer placements	86.7 %	79.6 % <i>(487/612)</i>	81.6 % <i>(200/245)</i>	81.7 % <i>(322/394)</i>	83.2 % <i>(638/767)</i>	86.7 %
CPS Investigations Completed (% of DCYF Population).....		27.9%	11.4%	21.0%	39.7%	
Foster Care Children Served (% of DCYF Population).....		33.1%	11.7%	17.9%	37.2%	

NOTE: Italicized numbers in parentheses indicate the number of children who experienced the outcome and the number of children identified as at risk for each outcome. For example, on Outcome #2 in Region IV (incidence of child abuse and/or neglect in foster care) 9 children were identified as abused or neglected by a foster parent or residential provider out of a potential 1469 children who were in care for the 9-month period used to compute the outcome. Each outcome is calculated based upon federal criteria as outlined in the CFSR procedures.

* To ensure anonymity, in instances where 5 or fewer cases are identified as experiencing an incident the numerator and denominator will not be provided.

** This is not a PIP Target Goal; at baseline RI exceeded the National Standard on this measure.

As is shown in the table, all regions exceed the national standards for 6-month maltreatment recurrence (Outcome #1) for this reporting period. Regions I and III achieved the national standard for reducing and incidence of child abuse and/or neglect among children in foster care (Outcome #2), and Region IV achieved the 2007 target goal for this outcome. Region III currently meets the annual target performance goal for increasing the 12-month rate of foster care reunification, and Regions II and III currently meets the national standard (75.1%; Outcome #3). Regions I and III currently meet the 2007 target goal for reducing the 12-month rate of foster care re-entry (Outcome #4), and Region II approached the target goal. All regions met the national standard for adoption from foster care within 24 months from a child’s entry into the foster care system (Outcome #5). Finally, none of the regions currently meet the 12-month rate of placement stability among children in foster care (Outcome #6). Recall that comparisons across regions should be done with caution because of the unique characteristics and challenges for each region.